

Little Shasta Fish Passage
Questions from Site Visit
January 22, 2009

- 1) In the Project Timeframe section #2 Estimated end date: September 30, 2010.

This should read the project must be completed and final invoices submitted by September 30, 2010.

- 2) The Request for Proposal includes two sites. Should the respondents submit one scope of work and budget for both or a separate scope of work and budget for each site? Can Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC) award the grant to two different respondents?

Please prepare one scope of work and budget for both sites. If it is decided that PSMFC is to contract with two consultants, the fee for the individual sites can be negotiated at that time. At this time, however, DFG does not anticipate recommending hiring two consultants to do the work.

- 3) Are there any further time constraints than listed?

The RFP states "Each respondent shall develop and include: A thorough work plan and/or schedule for project completion." So the only additional time constraints will be found in the work schedule as developed by the respondent.

- 4) Can the respondent submit their proposal with a task budget?

Yes – PSMFC will pay upon satisfactory completion of each task.

- 5) Since both projects are on private lands, what level of coordination is expected between the landowner and water users?

All access and coordination with the landowner and water users will be facilitated by the DFG grant manager.

- 6) Are there any landowner or water issues concerning the project the respondent should be aware of?

No.

- 7) Is it possible for respondents to do an additional site visit before the proposal due date?

No

- 8) The General Scope of Work did not include the design of a measuring weir or a dewatering plan. Should these be included in the proposal?

Yes