New genetic technology for the management of Snake River Chinook salmon and steelhead

BPA Project 2010-026-00: <u>Genetic Stock identification of Snake River steelhead and Chinook salmon</u>

BPA Project 2010-031-00: <u>Snake River Chinook Salmon and steelhead Parental Based Tagging</u>

Presentation to Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission Annual Meeting – August 30th, 2011 Matthew Campbell-Idaho Department of Fish and Game









Craig Steele, Michael Ackerman, - IDFG Eagle Fish Genetics Lab Shawn Narum, Maureen Hess, Jon Hess - CRITFC Hagerman Genetics Lab

Genetic stock identification of Snake River steelhead at Lower Granite Dam



Mike Ackerman^{1,2} Tim Copeland¹, Bill Schrader¹, Matt Campbell¹ ¹Idaho Department of Fish and Game ²Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

Shawn Narum, Jon Hess, Andrew Matala Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission







Introduction

• Abundance is a primary metric needed for monitoring the status of salmon and steelhead populations (McElhany et al. 2000)

• Estimates of abundance (combined with age and sex data) over time allows estimation of population growth and productivity parameters

• Estimates of these metrics provide indicators of the resiliency and viability of populations and allows extinction risk assessment



Introduction

• HOWEVER, population level assessments of Snake River steelhead is often very difficult due to...

- wide distribution
- conditions at time of spawning

• Most steelhead populations in the Snake River have little to no abundance and productivity information

• Most status assessments rely on aggregate measurements of all adults at Lower Granite Dam





"A-run" versus "B-run"

•In lieu of more detailed basin-level stock specific information, managers have relied primarily on a two stock classification system for Snake River steelhead adults characterized by life history variations in size and age at return, timing of migration into freshwater, spawn timing and spawning distribution

Stock	Ocean Residence	Timing over Bonneville	Size at return	Distribution	Spawn Timing
A-run	1 year	June 1 to August 25	≤ 78 cm	≤ 78 cm Tucannon, Grande Ronde, and Imnaha rivers, upper Salmon River, Snake River	
B-run	2 years	August 25 and October 31	> 79 cm	Clearwater River, S.F./M.F. Salmon Rivers	Late April- May



<u>"A-run" versus "B-run"</u>

•Snake River "B-run" steelhead are currently identified as exhibiting a distinctive life-history component of the Snake River Distinct Population Segment (NOAA 2003)

•However, their management is confounded by the lack of a clear and detailed understanding of their actual spawning distribution and evolutionary structure

•Genetic studies have reported that steelhead in Snake River tributaries in Idaho exhibit a complicated pattern of genetic structuring with populations grouping genetically according to drainage locality, not simply by "A-run" or "B-run" designations (Moran 2003; Nielsen et al 2009) North American Journal of Fisheries Management 29:680–701, 2009 © Copyright by the American Fisheries Society 2009 DOI: 10.1577/M08-105.1 [Article]

Steelhead Genetic Diversity at Multiple Spatial Scales in a Managed Basin: Snake River, Idaho

JENNIFER L. NIELSEN* U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Science Center, 4210 University Drive, Anchorage, Alaska 99508, USA

ALAN BYRNE Idaho Department of Fish and Game, 600 South Wainut Street, Post Office Box 25, Boise, Idaho 83707, USA

SARA L. GRAZIANO U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Science Center, 4210 University Drive, Anchorage, Alaska 99508, USA

> CHRISTINE C. KOZFKAY Idaho Department of Fish and Game, 1800 Trout Road, Eagle, Idaho 83616, USA

Abstract .-- We investigated the genetic diversity of steelhead Oncorhynchus mykiss in 74 wild populations and 5 hatchery stocks in Idaho's Snake River basin at the drainage, watershed, and population spatial scales using 11 microsatellite loci. We found significant genetic diversity at multiple spatial scales. Analysis of molecular variance showed that genetic diversity was greater among watersheds within drainages (3.66%) than among drainages (1.97%). Over 94% of the genetic diversity found in the Clearwater, Salmon, and Snake River drainages occurred within individual populations. Estimated effective population sizes ranged from 213 to 486.6 at the drainage scale, from 81.2 to 610.4 at the watershed scale, and from 8.4 to 4,845 at the population scale, The Middle Fork Salmon, South Fork Salmon, Lochsa, and Selway rivers-watersheds managed for wild fish-formed distinct groups in our consensus neighbor-joining (NJ) trees. At the watershed scale our analyses support differentiation of all hatchery and wild stocks. However, this was not the case for analyses at the population scale, where 236 F_{st} pairwise comparisons out of 3,081 (wild and hatchery) were not significantly different. The distribution of genetic diversity across the landscape does not appear to be organized by the A run or B run management designations for an adromous steelhead. The Dworshak hatchery stock was significantly different from all but one population (O'Hara Creek, Selway River) in pairwise FST comparisons and grouped with other Clearwater River drainage populations in our NJ trees. The Oxbow, Sawtooth, and Pahsimeroi hatchery stocks were indistinguishable from each other based on Ferr analysis. Currently, this study represents the most comprehensive evaluation of genetic diversity in Idaho's steelhead populations across multiple scales with different management histories.

The ability to adapt to highly variable conditions in unique environments is a critical element throughout the life cycle of anadromous salmonids. Oncorhynchus mykiss populations express a diversity of life history strategies from strongly anadromous (steelhead) to nonanadromous (resident or rainbow trout) throughout the species' natural range (Shapovalov and Taft 1954; Rybock et al. 1975; Taylor 1995). Many studies have shown that sympatric populations of anadromous and resident O. mykiss found within the same drainage cannot be separated taxonomically or genetically based on migration timing or different life histories (Allendorf and Utter 1979; Reisenbichler et al. 1994; Docker and Heath 2003; Nanum et al.

* Corresponding author: jlnielsen@usgs.gov

Received April 28, 2008; accepted October 21, 2008 Published online May 14, 2009 2004a; Olsen et al. 2006; Heath et al. 2008). Additional studies demonstrated that steelhead isolated as resident fish behind artificial barriers and dams contain components of the *O. mykiss* gene pool formerly found in geographically proximate anadromous populations (Gall et al. 1990; Nielsen et al. 1997; Deiner et al. 2007). Variation in reproductive success and genetic compensation between different life history forms can result in changes in effective population size and subsequent patterns of genetic diversity across the landscape (Heath et al. 2001; Araki et al. 2007).

Historically, steelhead were broadly distributed throughout most of the Columbia River basin, including populations in Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and British Columbia (Behnke 1992; reviewed in Busby et al. 1996). Mallet (1974) estimated that 55% of all steelhead in the lower Columbia River were produced in the Idaho portion of the Snake River.

Genetic Stock Identification

•One technology that might improve our understanding of "A-run"/"B-run" life histories and providing a finer scale of stock management for Snake River steelhead is Genetic Stock Identification (GSI)

•GSI uses allele frequencies from baseline populations (from all major contributing stocks), and genotypes from mixture samples, to estimate stock proportions within mixed stock fisheries (Anderson et al 2008)



Genetic Stock Identification

•GSI technology has been used extensively to investigate and manage mixed stock fisheries for a variety of Pacific salmonids including Chinook salmon (Beacham et al. 2008), sockeye salmon (Habicht et al. 2007), chum salmon (Beacham et al. 2008) and steelhead (Beacham et al. 1999, 2000)

• In the Snake River basin, previous genetic studies have indicated that steelhead exhibit significant genetic structuring at the watershed (subbasin) level (Moran 2003; Nielsen et al 2009) and GSI procedures have already been used successfully to identify the origin of kelt steelhead at lower granite dam (Narum et al 2008)

North American Journal of Fisheries Management 24:672-685, 2004 © Copyright by the American Fisheries Society 2004

Genetic Stock Identification of Steelhead in the Columbia River Basin: An Evaluation of Different Molecular Markers

GARY A. WINANS,* MELANIE M. PAQUIN, AND DONALD M. VAN DOORNIK National Marine Fiberies Service, Northwest Fiberies Science Center, 2723 Monitable Bouleward East, Seattle, Washington 98112, USA

> BRUCE M. BAKER Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, 600 Capital Way North, Olympia, Washington 98501, USA

PERRY THORNTON National Marine Fisheries Service, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, Washington 98112, USA

DAN RAWDING AND ANNE MARSHALL Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, 600 Capital Way North, Olympia, Washington 98501, USA

PAUL MORAN National Marine Fisheries Service, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, Washington 98112, USA

Steven Kalinowski

Montana State University, Department of Ecology, Bozeman, Montana 59717, USA

Abstract.-Protein genetic markers (allozymes) have been used during the last decade in a genetic stock identification (GSI) program by state and federal management agencies to monitor stocks of steelhead Oncorhynchus mykiss in the Columbia River basin. In this paper we report new data for five microsatellite and three intron loci from 32 steelhead populations in the three upriver evolutionarily significant units (ESUs) and compare the performance of allozyme, microsatellite, and intron markers for use in GSI mixture analyses. As expected, microsatellites and introns had high total heterozygosity (H_7) values; but there was little difference among marker classes in the magnitude of population differentiation as estimated by Wright's fixation index (Fsr), which ranged from 0.041 (microsatellite loci) to 0.047 (allozyme loci) and 0.050 (intron loci). For allozyme and microsatellite loci, the relationships among populations followed the patterns of geographic proximity. In computer-simulated mixture analyses, GSI estimates were more than 85% correct to the reporting group, the exact percentage depending on the marker data set and target group. Microsatellite loci provided the most accurate estimate (83%) in the 100% upper Columbia River ESU simulation, whereas simulation estimates for the 32-locus allozyme baseline were 93-94% for the 100% middle Columbia River ESU and two Snake River management groups. The simulations also showed that the estimates improved substantially up to a sample size of 50 fish per population. Technical advances will concomitantly increase the number of useful microsatellite loci and the rate of laboratory throughput, making this class of molecular marker more valuable for GSI mixture analyses in the near future. In the meantime, we recommend that steelhead management in the Columbia River rely on both allozyme and microsatellite data for GSI procedures

Journal of Fish Biology (2008) 72, 45-60 doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2007.01649.x, available online at http://www.blackwell-synergy.com

Iteroparity in complex mating systems of steelhead Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum)

S. R. Narum*†, D. Hatch‡, A. J. Talbot‡§, P. Moran and M. S. Powell¶

*Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission, Hagerman Fish Culture Experiment Station, 3059-F National Fish Hatchery Road, Hagerman, ID 83332, U.S.A. "Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission, 729 NE Oregon Suite 200, Portland, OR 97232, U.S.A., ||NOAA Fisheries, NWFSC Montlake Laboratory, Seattle, WA 98112, U.S.A. and ¶University of Idaho, Center for Salmonid and Freshwater Species at Risk, 3059-F National Fish Hatchery Road, Hagerman, ID 83332, U.S.A.

(Received 21 November 2006, Accepted 9 July 2007)

This study investigated diverse reproductive types in complex mating systems of steelhead Oncorhynchus mykiss. Postspawned steelhead (kelts) were sampled during attempted downstream migration over Lower Granite Dam on the Snake River, U.S.A. Multilocus microsatellite genotypes (14 loci) were used to assign unknown origin, kelt individuals to upstream populations of origin. Results indicated that iteroparity is a life-history trait that remains in several tributaries of the Snake River basin despite strong selection against downstream adult passage because of hydroelectric dams. The largest populations of steelhead in the Snake River, however, were only weakly represented (Clearwater River = 7.5% and Salmon River = 9.4%, respectively) in the kelt steelhead mixture relative to the Grande Ronde River (18-2%), Imnaha River (17-4%), Pahsimeroi Hatchery (25-2%) and Asotin Creek (22-2%). A lack of correlation between population escapement size and kelt proportions (P > 0.05) suggests that iteroparity was not uniformly expressed across populations, but was significantly negatively correlated with body size (P < 0.05). Iteroparity may be a valuable source of genetic variability and a conservation priority, especially in years with poor recruitment or in recently bottlenecked populations. © 2008 The Authors

Journal compilation © 2008 The Fisheries Society of the British Isles

SNP baseline: 49 populations, 2,506 samples, 187 SNP loci

 Reporting groups: genetically discrete groups of populations



Methods – Adult Steelhead

Lower Granite Dam sampling:

- SY2009 = 1,083 samples
 SY2010 = 1,938 samples
 Biological data collected for each individual
 - ✓ length
 - tissue = genetics/gender
 - \checkmark scale = age

Analysis
• 187 SNP loci
> including genetic sex
✓ Y-chromosome specific assay
✓ >98% accurate





Stock escapement at Lower Granite Dam



Sex ratios

<u>SY2009</u>

- Males = 32%
- Females = 68%

<u>SY2010</u>

- Males = 38%
- Females = 62%

Male



Female



📕 % Female 📕 % Male								
	09	% 20% 4	40% 60	% 80	% 100	%		
Main Salmon	SY2009	689	3	32%				
Main Salmon	SY2010	62%	38	38%				
щ цо	SY2009	7!		25%				
MF Salmon	SY2010	74		26%				
SF Salmon	SY2009	7		22%				
Salr	SY2010	64%	3	36%				
Upper earwater	SY2009	72		28%				
Lower SF Upper Clearwater Clearwater	SY2010	65%	65%					
SF rwater	SY2009	66%	3	34%				
Clear	SY2010	63%	63%					
Lower earwater	SY2009	73		27%				
Clear	SY2010	57%	439	43%				
Imnaha	SY2009	61%	39	39%				
<u><u> </u></u>	SY2010	699	3	31%				
Grande Ronde	SY2009	63%	3	37%				
	SY2010	58%	42	42%				
Tucannon (BLWLGR)	SY2009	72		28%				
Tuca (BLM	SY2010	54%	54%			46%		

% Female % Male

•Females comprise the majority of the run of adult steelhead passing Lower Granite Dam (>65%)

•Female-biased sex ratios in steelhead have been explained by two separate life history behaviors:

- 1. The predominance of males to residualize
- 2. The predominance of anadromous females to spawn more than once (Savvaitova et al. 1997; McMillan et al. 2007)

•Although kelts are observed passing LGR, successful iteroparity very rare in the Snake River basin (Keefer et al. 2008; Narum et al. 2008).

•One likely explanation for the skewed sex ratios that we are observing is the residualization of large numbers of males during freshwater rearing





Proportion of "A-Run" (blue) and "B-run" (red) sized fish returning to these reporting groups in SY2010

Generally, length patterns conformed with A-run/B-run expectations, with proportionately smaller fish returning to areas that we consider "A-run" (Imnaha, Grande Ronde, Upper Salmon), and proportionately larger fish returning to areas we consider to be "B-run" (S.F. Clearwater, S.F. Salmon).

Proportion of 1-ocean (blue), 2-ocean (red) and 3-ocean (green) age adults returning to these reporting groups in SY2010

Similar patterns to what we saw before, but these results emphasize what some biologists have recognized for some time, that 2-ocean fish do not strictly return "B-run" sized fish. For example, in SY 2010, although the composition of 2-ocean adults from the Imnaha and Grande Ronde Rivers was ~30-35%, less than 5% of the adults met "Brun" size length requirements.

Major Findings: "A-run" versus "B-run"

- Drainage based differences in A-Run vs. B-Run composition (based on length)
 - A-Run: Upper Salmon, Grande Ronde, Imnaha
 - B-Run: Upper Clearwater, SF Clearwater, SF Salmon

• BUT, all regions appear to produce both smaller size/younger age returning adults (A-run) and larger size/older age returning adults (B-run)

• Relationship between run type (A vs. B) based on length and saltwater age (1-ocean vs. 2-ocean) not clear-cut

• we report a higher proportion of 2-ocean steelhead than >=78 cm fish in all regions





2011/2012 Projects/Analyses at LGR:

Adults

- •Continue adult stock escapement estimation (both steelhead and Chinook Salmon)
 - ✓ How many unclipped hatchery steelhead adults are called wild?
 - Currently the identification of ad-intact hatchery steelhead is determined by fin erosion, but the accuracy of this determination needs to be tested.

✓ Address wild versus unclipped hatchery differentiation for Chinook salmon adults

✓ Identify % of fall Chinook salmon trapped prior to 8/17

Juveniles

•Stock composition of steelhead and Chinook salmon juveniles (% hatchery called wild)

•Identify % sp/sum component of out-migrating sub-yearling Chinook salmon

Chinook Salmon Columbia River Baseline

- 49 spawning aggregates
- 5000+ fish
- Genetic markers
- 92 SNP loci



12 Reporting Groups

Lower Columbia R spring Willamette R spring Lower Columbia R fall

Mid Columbia R spring Upper Columbia R spring Rapid R/Clearwater R spring SF Salmon R spring MF Salmon R spring Upper Salmon R spring

Upper Columbia R sum./fall Deschutes R fall Snake R fall



Baseline described in Matala et al. 2011 TAFS Accuracy of Reporting groups described in Hess et al. 2011 MER



^{124&#}x27;00'W 123'300'W 122'300'W 122'300'W 121'300'W 121'300'W 121'300'W 120'300'W 120'300'W 119'300'W 119'30'W



PBT in the Snake River Basin: Evaluation and Implementation





Craig Steele, Michael Ackerman, Matthew Campbell - Eagle Fish Genetics Lab Maureen Hess, Nathan Campbell, Shawn Narum - Hagerman Genetics Lab Problem: IDFG managers believe that annual codedwire tags recoveries from statewide fisheries in Idaho are insufficient to reliably estimate stock contributions

•CWT tag more fish? ✓logistically difficult ✓labor intensive ✓expensive







Parentage-based genetic tagging - PBT (Anderson and Garza 2005)



Parentage-based tagging uses similar DNA techniques as those used in human parentage testing

Parentage-based genetic tagging - PBT



•Every person receives a unique set of genetic information from their parents!

•If your parents have been genetically screened they can easily be identified from thousands of possible candidates!

Genetic information can be obtained from all hatchery fish (broodstock) that are spawned



Lookinglass



Wallowa



Sawtooth



Pahsimeroi



Oxbow



Dworshak/Clearwater



•	•	PIT T 🔻 Other info 💌	103-1	103-2	111-1	111-2	112-1	112-2 🔻	114-1	114-2	104-1	104-2	108-1	108-2	115-1	115-2 🔻
BY08	Μ	3D9.1BF11A9FCC 219-220-119	200	204	286	294	134	168	247	255	169	181	206	206	189	193
BY08	Μ	3D9.1BF11AA0B1 219-220-091	196	196	286	306	134	184	247	267	165	181	206	210	185	197
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AA0D7 219-220-043	196	204	294	298	184	188	247	247	165	181	210	218	193	197
BY08	м	3D9.1BF11AA0F7 219-220-053	204	240	286	298	168	176	247	251	165	177	202	206	185	189
BY08	м	3D9.1BF11AA3F2 219-220-117	188	220	294	298	134	184	251	263	165	173	202	210	185	197
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AA41C 219-220-284	224	312	234	234	134	184	255	267	165	165	194	210	185	193
BY08	Μ	3D9.1BF11AA68E 219-220-050	196	224	234	242	134	184	255	267	165	177	202	210	185	189
BY08	Μ	3D9.1BF11AA7B9 219-220-297	204	232	294	298	176	184	247	267	165	165	210	210	189	193
BY08	М	3D9.1BF11AABB9 219-220-270	220	224	234	294	184	188	247	255	177	181	210	210	189	193
BY08	м	3D9.1BF11AAC0E 219-220-200	196	216	234	294	134	168	255	255	165	165	206	206	193	201
BY08	Μ	3D9.1BF11AAC2F 219-220-138	188	196	242	298	134	134	255	255	234	294	202	202	234	294
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AAC55 219-220-071	196	216	234	294	180	188	247	255	181	181	206	206	189	197
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AAD25 219-220-067	192	312	230	298	134	176	251	255	181	181	202	210	197	197
BY08	Μ	3D9.1BF11AAD29 219-220-116	196	312	234	306	134	184	239	247	165	177	210	210	189	189
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AAE26 219-220-333	196	220	242	294	184	184	251	267	165	165	210	210	189	197
BY08	Μ	3D9.1BF11AAEEC 219-220-314	220	236	298	298	134	134	247	267	165	181	202	210	189	201
BY08	М	3D9.1BF11AB010 219-220-190	196	312	234	294	134	184	255	255	165	177	210	210	189	193
BY08	м	3D9.1BF11AB223 219-220-278	200	204	286	294	134	184	247	251	165	169	202	206	185	193
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AB341 219-220-185	196	200	234	294	134	134	255	255	165	177	206	206	185	189
BY08	м	3D9.1BF11AB3BE 219-220-229	204	212	294	294	176	180	255	255	177	181	206	210	189	201
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AB537 219-220-017	220	232	234	298	134	184	234	294	173	181	202	210	185	197
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AB62A 219-220-124	208	212	298	306	234	294	247	255	177	181	198	210	185	189
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AB62D 219-220-318	196	224	234	306	234	294	255	271	165	185	210	210	234	294
BY08	Μ	3D9.1BF11AB631 219-220-224	188	312	234	298	134	188	255	255	165	173	202	206	189	197
BY08	М	3D9.1BF11ABA6B 219-220-259	220	312	234	298	134	134	251	255	165	165	202	210	189	189
BY08	Μ	3D9.1BF11ABB19 219-220-058	196	312	234	242	134	188	255	263	177	177	206	206	193	197
BY08	Μ	3D9.1BF11AC253 219-220-120	212	232	294	306	134	134	247	251	165	165	210	210	189	201
BY08	м	3D9.1BF11AC255 219-220-052	196	196	286	294	134	180	251	255	165	197	210	210	189	197
BY08	\mathbf{M}	3D9.1BF11AC295 219-220-261	196	204	294	298	134	188	247	255	165	181	194	210	197	197
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AC2E9 219-220-300	196	204	298	306	134	184	267	267	165	177	202	206	189	201
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AC31B 219-220-042	204	220	294	298	134	180	234	234	294	181	206	210	197	197
BY08	Μ	3D9.1BF11AC323 219-220-004	196	204	234	298	134	134	255	263	165	181	206	210	189	197
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AC32F 219-220-165	224	228	226	234	188	188	251	255	177	181	194	214	193	197
BY08	м	3D9.1BF11AC345 219-220-219	204	232	226	298	176	188	255	255	181	185	210	210	189	197
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AC428 219-220-294	204	232	226	298	176	188	255	255	181	185	210	210	189	197
BY08	м	3D9.1BF11AC4A0 219-220-204	204	220	298	298	134	134	255	255	165	181	210	210	189	189
BY08	F	3D9.1BF11AC4CF 219-220-287	204	232	226	298	176	188	255	255	181	185	210	210	189	197

Offspring from any of these parents (either collected as juveniles or returning adults), if genotyped, could be assigned back to their parents, thus identifying the hatchery they originated from and exact brood year they were produced in



While between 500-800 coded-wire tags have been recovered annually (2005-2007), ~ten times that many (~6,000) adults have been physically examined each year (Hansen 2007)

Potentially, under a PBT program, the hatchery origin and brood year of a much higher number of these adults could have been determined. This increase in sample size would have undoubtedly improved contribution estimates, especially from smaller mark groups

500-800 < 6,000

Benefits of PBT

•Provides same information as CWTs (stock and cohort)

✓ Run reconstruction (age, sex, stock of returning adults)
✓ Harvest estimates

•Additionally, many issues associated with tagging studies all but go away

✓ Tag loss
✓ Tag detectability
✓ Differential mortality



Additional benefits of PBT

PROS:

•It is a passive mark (no handling of juveniles needed)

•"Tagged" fish can be non-lethally interrogated

✓ Fin-clip

✓ Scales

✓ Biopsy hook

"The hollow stainless steel tip of the hook collects a small amount of tissue as the fish strikes, and a special rasp holds the sample in place while the hook is in the water" <u>"Genetag hook"</u>



PROS:

•Can potentially provide much more information than stock and cohort of origin

•Host of other life history, ecological and quantitative genetic questions





• Hatchery reform (Integrated programs)

 What is the reproductive success of hatchery-origin/wild-origin spawners? (both in the wild and in the hatchery)

Hatchery reform (Segregated programs)

Concern that straying hatchery fish reduce the fitness of wild populations
 Is it possible to manage a hatchery program so that it is truly "segregated" from wild populations?

✓ PBT technology can identify where straying hatchery fish were released, their stock of origin, and age





• Heritability estimates

To what extent are physical or behavioral traits (e.g. size and age of returning adults) controlled by genetics, environment or interactions between the two?

✓ Are hatchery spawning practices selecting for younger age at maturity in hatchery Chinook salmon populations



Hankin et al (2009) recommended that in the hatchery male length \geq female length

• Origin of kelt steelhead

Thousands of kelt steelhead outmigrate past Lower Granite Dam each year (~50% hatchery origin)

✓ Where are they from?
✓ How old are they?
✓ Is phenotypic sex correct?



• PBT program can easily be integrated into existing sampling/genetic programs at Bonneville Dam and in lower Columbia fisheries (CRITFC)



Bonneville Chinook weekly stock composition (2004-2006)



Collaborative Center for Applied Fish Science



University of Idaho PBT sounds great!!!....

However, it has been largely theoretical up to this point...

•Needs to be empirically tested and validated on a large scale



These types of evaluations have been directly called for by both the Pacific Salmon Commission and the Independent Scientific Review Panel and Advisory Boards

Recommendations for Application of Genetic Stock Identification (GSI) Methods to Management of Ocean Salmon Fisheries.

Special Report of the GSI Steering Committee and the Pacific Salmon Commission's Committee on Scientific Cooperation.

January 2008



Pacific Salmon Commission Technical Report No. 23

INDEPENDENT SCIENTIFIC REVIEW PANEL INDEPENDENT SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY BOARD

TAGGING REPORT



A comprehensive review of Columbia River Basin fish tagging technologies and programs

> March 17, 2009 ISRP/ISAB 2009-1

Snake River Chinook and Steelhead Parentage Based Tagging-Proposal #201003100

interview (about us	fish & wildlife	energy	news	reports, papers & videos					
<u>Fish & wildlife</u> → <u>Budgets</u> → <u>Fast Track BiOp Review</u> → <u>All proposals</u>										

Proposal 201003100: Snake River Chinook and Steelhead Parental Based Tagging

Reviews

Jump to:

- 1. Administrative
- 6. <u>Objectives</u> 7. Work elements

- 2. <u>Location</u> 3. <u>Species</u>
- 7. <u>Work ele</u> 8. Budget
- ecies
- 4. Past accomplishments 9. Future
- 5. <u>Relationships</u>
- ents 9. Future
- hips 10. Narrative

Perfect timing to conduct a large-scale test of this technology in the basin....


Major objectives over the next several years:

•Demonstrate the accuracy and utility of PBT technology in addressing hatchery management and conservation issues in the Snake and Columbia River basin







Objective 1: Accuracy

Evaluate accuracy and ability of PBT to assign offspring of known parentage back to their hatchery stock of origin (among several closely related stocks)



PILOT STUDY - Adults

•Broodyear 2008 •Candidate Parent Dataset

Hatchery Stock	Ν
Squaw Crk Upper Salmon B	35
Sawtooth Hatchery	234
Dworshak Hatchery	393
East Fork Salmon River	119
Pahsimeroi Hatchery	255
Grande R./Cottonwood	169
Total	1205





<u>PILOT STUDY - Offspring</u> •Sampled at Magic Valley •Juvenile Dataset

Juveniles Sampled	N
Squaw Crk Upper Salmon B	92
Sawtooth	93
Dworshak	93
EFSR	94
Pahsimeroi	93
Grande R./Cottonwood	93
Lyons Ferry*	93
Total	651

* Individuals with no parents in the database









PILOT STUDY - Results

Results: SNPs •High number of juveniles assigned (97.3%) •100% accuracy to stock

Hatchery Stock	Juveniles Genotyped	Juveniles Assigned	% assigned correctly to stock
Squaw Crk	92	92	100.0%
Sawtooth	93	91	100.0%
Dworshak	93	93	100.0%
EF Salmon	94	88	100.0%
Pahsimeroi	93	88	100.0%
G.R. Cott.	93	91	100.0%
Lyons Ferry*	93	0	

* Individuals with no parents in the database

Evaluating False Positives

Pilot study :



Tried to assign Lyon's Ferry individuals (93) to non-parents (1205) Resulted in no false assignment of the 93 Lyon's Ferry individuals

Experimental Assignments:



Tried to assign 2008 broodstock (**5107**) to 2009 broodstock (**5672**) Resulted in no false assignment of the 5107 individuals broodstock

Snake River Basin PBT Milestones

FY2011 - Genotyped 2008 and 2009 broodstock for : Chinook (2008 = ~10,700; 2009 = ~8,700) Steelhead (2008 = ~5,100; 2009 = ~5,700)

FY2012 – Genotyping 2010 and 2011 broodstock for: Chinook (2010 = 8,400, 2011 = underway) Steelhead (2010 = ~5,700; 2011 = ~5,700)

Implementation of PBT

- CWT comparisons

 ✓ Zone 6 steelhead fishery
 ✓ LSRCP Chinook
- Hatchery run reconstruction over Lower Granite Dam
- Origin of hatchery strays
- Origin of hatchery kelts



Ultimate goal:

All hatchery salmon and steelhead hatchery in the Snake River basin!!!!



http://www.flickr.com/photos/natekay/4319654380/sizes/0/



Current staff: 7 PSMFC employees!!!

Fish Genetics Manager (IDFG) = 1
Sockeye geneticist (IDFG) = 1
GSI geneticist (PSMFC) = 1
PBT geneticist(PSMFC) = 1
GSI/PBT data coordinator (PSMFC) = 1
GSI/PBT genetic lab technicians (PSMFC) = 4
GSI/PBT genetic lab technicians (IDFG) = 4



Thank you: George Nandoor, Stan Allen





Eagle Fish Genetics Lab-2011

Questions???



