Development of a catch and release mortality model for recreational steelhead fisheries



Kale Bentley & Dan Rawding Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife Steelhead Management Meeting March 8th, 2016

Brad (iFish.net)

Economic Impact of Recreational Fisheries

STATE OF GALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE ANNUAL SPORT FISHING LICENSE Valid 06/19/2015 to 12/31/2015

GO ID: 1017585287 STATE ID: F253**** CA JOHNNY SOMSAI VILAYCHITH 3190 34TH AVE SACRAMENTO, CA. 95824 HAR Black LEVES Brown 5-06 210 H DOB 07/22/1993 Resident Thay ded in California combruously months Doc No: D-0014339257-4 Out of No. 200003-148 6/19/2015/4 23-23 PM Lans: 000000010757 Sport Fish License - Res Total: \$47.01

ment handling fees Includes any applicable applicable and license buyer surcharge

Fee' \$47.01

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that all information on this document is true and correct and that I meet the requirements for these licenses. understand it is unlawful to make any false statement in this opplication or to use or possess a license obtained by fraud



LIND

WA State Steelhead Economic value



Scott and Gill (2008)

Balancing Act: Recreational & Conservation





Steelhead Distinct Population Segments



Catch and Release (C&R) Fisheries

C&R allows for some catch of wild steelhead
But C&R fish still susceptible to mortality
Fisheries with ESA-species must monitor impacts

Monitoring C&R Fishery Impacts

C&R Mortalities Sport Fishery Impact Rate(SFIR) =

C&*R Mortalities* = *Catch* × *Hooking Mortality Rate*

died *Hooking Mortality Rate = # caught*

Independent study C Literature value(s)

C&R mortality: 0 - 11%

 $\times 100$

Run Size

Goal of Study

Develop a predictive C&R mortality model for recreational steelhead fisheries



C&R Assessment Framework

- Q1. What are the most important factors that effect steelhead C&R mortality?
- Q2. How can we incorporate these factors into a predictive model?
- Q3. What are the results when we apply this predictive model to WA fisheries?
- Q4. What is the influence of fishing regulations on C&R hooking mortality?

Study Area



Q1. What are the most important factors that effect steelhead C&R mortality?

<u>C&R Literature Suggests...</u>

• Water temperature

Air exposure

- Hooking location (critical v. non-critical)
- Angling duration (time from hooked to landing)
- Hook type (single/treble, barbed/un-barbed)

Q1. What are the most important factors that effect steelhead C&R mortality?



Ashbrook et al. (in press)

- C&R 54 winter steelhead
- Water temperatures: 2 12°C
- Radio tagged fish and followed to spawning

Taylor and Barnhart (1996)

- C&R 126 summer steelhead
- Water temperatures: 14 25°C
- Block netted pool after release
 and snorkeled >36 after release
 to record mortalities

Q1. What are the most important factors that effect steelhead C&R mortality?



Q2. How can we incorporate hook location and temperature into a predictive C&R mortality model?



Q2. C&R Hooking Mortality Model



Q3. What are estimates of C&R Mortality for WA steelhead fisheries



Estimating Fishery C&R Mortality (FCRM)

- (1) Calculate proportion of steelhead that were critically or non-critically hook location by month (*q*_{ij})
- (2) Estimate mortality using mean water temperature by month using predictive model (*m*_{ij})
- (3) Fishery Catch & Released Mortality is weighted monthly mortality

$$FCRM = \sum m_{ij} q_{ij}$$

Q3. Estimated C&R Mortality for WA Steelhead Fisheries

Population - Race	Year	Temperature (°C)	Fishery Regulations	N	FCRM (%)	95% CI
SF Toutle - winters	2011-12	2.7 - 4.4	Selective	80	4.6	1.7 - 9.7
	2012-13	2.8 - 5.1	Selective	87	4.4	1.6 - 9.1
	2013-14	3.7 - 6.4	Selective	49	1.6	0.1 - 5.2
Washougal - winters	2011-12	4.7 - 7.0	General/Selective	136	1.9	0.4 - 5.6
	2012-13	4.3 - 7.0	General/Selective	118	2.0	0.4 - 5.7
	2013-14	4.6 - 7.2	General/Selective	91	1.6	0.2 - 5.2
Wind - summers	2014	6.4 - 12.4	Selective	10	1.6	0.2 - 5.3
	2015	7.2 - 12.4	Selective	24	5.3	1.5 - 13.9
Puget Sound - winters	1992-93	6	General	1404	7.4	1.5 - 12.1
	1992-93	6	Selective	616	5.4	2.8 - 9.6

Q3. Estimated C&R Mortality for WA Steelhead Fisheries

		Temperature			FCRM	
Population - Race	Year	(°C)	Fishery Regulations	Ν	(%)	95% CI
SF Toutle - winters	2011-12	2.7 - 4.4	Selective	80	4.6	1.7 - 9.7
	2012-13	2.8 - 5.1	Selective	87	4.4	1.6 - 9.1
	2013-14	3.7 - 6.4	Selective	49	1.6	0.1 - 5.2
Washougal - winters	2011-12	4.7 - 7.0	General/Selective	L36	1.9	0.4 - 5.6
	2012-13	4.3 - 7.0	General/Selective	.18	2.0	0.4 - 5.7
	2013-14	4.6 - 7.2	General/Selective	91	1.6	0.2 - 5.2
Wind - summers	2014	6.4 - 12.4	Selective	10	1.6	0.2 - 5.3
	2015	7.2 - 12.4	Selective	24	5.3	1.5 - 13.9
Puget Sound - winters	1992-93	6	General	404	7.4	1.5 - 12.1
	1992-93	6	Selective	516	5.4	2.8 - 9.6

<u>General Regulations</u> – no gear restrictions. Most anglers use bait and barbed hooks. Released steelhead are to remain in water.

Selective Regulations – single barbless hooks, artificial lures or flies, & no scent or bait

Q3. Estimated C&R Mortality for WA Steelhead Fisheries

Population - Race	Year	Temperature (°C)	Fishery Regulations	N	FCRM (%)	95% CI
SF Toutle - winters	2011-12	2.7 - 4.4	Selective	80	4.6	1.7 - 9.7
	2012-13	2.8 - 5.1	Selective	87	4.4	1.6 - 9.1
	2013-14	3.7 - 6.4	Selective	49	1.6	0.1 - 5.2
Washougal - winters	2011-12	4.7 - 7.0	General/Selective	136	1.9	0.4 - 5.6
	2012-13	4.3 - 7.0	General/Selective	118	2.0	0.4 - 5.7
	2013-14	4.6 - 7.2	General/Selective	91	1.6	0.2 - 5.2
Wind - summers	2014	6.4 - 12.4	Selective	10	1.6	0.2 - 5.3
	2015	77 17 /	Soloctivo	24	5.2	15 120
Puget Sound - winters	1992-93	6	General	1404	7.4	1.5 - 12.1
	1992-93	6	Selective	616	5.4	2.8 - 9.6

<u>General Regulations</u> – no gear restrictions. Most anglers use bait and barbed hooks. Released steelhead are to remain in water.

Selective Regulations – single barbless hooks, artificial lures or flies, & no scent or bait

Q4. What is the influence of gear-type on C&R hooking mortality?



Hook Locations

Gear-Type



Q4. Hook Location by Gear Type (summer steelhead in lower Columbia R.)



Summary and Conclusions

- Developed a predictive model to estimate steelhead C&R mortality
- Regulations that reduce/limit contact with critical sites will reduce C&R mortality



Summary and Conclusions

- Developed a predictive model to estimate steelhead C&R mortality
- Regulations that reduce/limit contact with critical sites will reduce C&R mortality
- Model applicability

O. mykiss Diversity

Population-Specific Consequences of Fisheries-Related

Stressors on Adult Sockeye Salmon*

M. R. Donaldson^{1,†} S. G. Hinch¹ G. D. Raby² D. A. Patterson³ A. P. Farrell⁴ S. J. Cooke²

Differences in Thermal Tolerance Among Sockeye Salmon Populations

Erika J. Eliason,¹* Timothy D. Clark,^{1,2,3} Merran J. Hague,⁴ Linda M. Hanson,² Zoë S. Gallagher,¹ Ken M. Jeffries,³ Marika K. Gale,³ David A. Patterson,⁴ Scott G. Hinch,³ Anthony P. Farrell^{1,2}

Maximum stream temperature and the occurrence, abundance, and behavior of steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in a southern

California stream







Summary and Conclusions

- Developed a predictive model to estimate steelhead C&R mortality
- Regulations that reduce/limit contact with critical sites will reduce C&R mortality
- Model applicability:
 - Appropriate for winter steelhead and is a reasonable start for some coastal summer steelhead
 - Need additional data for interior and northern summer steelhead

