

# **Request for Proposals**

## **Analysis and Implementation of Reauthorization of the Central Gulf of Alaska Rockfish Program**



**Actual issue date: April 9, 2019**

**DEADLINE FOR PROPOSALS: May 10, 2019**

## Proposal Schedule

### SECTION 1: PROPOSED REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) SCHEDULE

Analysis and Implementation of Reauthorization of the  
Central Gulf of Alaska Rockfish Program

April 9, 2019 Requests for Proposals (RFP) distributed and posted at:

<http://www.psmfc.org/procurements/blog>

April 15, 2019 Deadline for Notice of Intent and written questions on  
RFP should be directed to:

Michael Arredondo  
205 SE Spokane Street, Suite 100  
Portland, OR 97202  
Email: [contracting@psmfc.org](mailto:contracting@psmfc.org)

April 19, 2019 Answers to written questions posted on PSMFC  
website:

<http://www.psmfc.org/procurements/blog>

May 10, 2019 Deadline for proposals

One (1) electronic copy to:

Michael Arredondo  
205 SE Spokane Street, Suite 100  
Portland, OR 97202  
Email: [contracting@psmfc.org](mailto:contracting@psmfc.org)

May 31, 2019 Contract awarded to successful bidder

***Request for Proposals***  
**Analysis and Implementation of Reauthorization of the  
Central Gulf of Alaska Rockfish Program**

**Introduction**

Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC) seeks a contractor to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)/Regulatory Impact Review (RIR) and to provide other analytical and technical support to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), Alaska Region and the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) as they consider reauthorizing the Central Gulf of Alaska (GOA) Rockfish Program (Rockfish Program). The GOA Rockfish Program is authorized under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) and the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska (FMP).

This project has two tasks:

1. Prepare an Environmental Assessment/Regulatory Impact Review (EA/RIR) for the proposal to extend the Rockfish Program beyond its current expiration date of December 31, 2021.
2. Assist in preparing the proposed and final rules for reauthorization of the Rockfish Program, should the Council recommend reauthorization.

This project is expected to start in the summer of 2019 and last through the end of 2020. The majority of work on the project is expected to occur between the summer 2019 and spring 2020, during which time the contractor will prepare the draft EA/RIR for initial review and possible final action by the Council.<sup>1</sup>

**Background**

PSMFC was formed by Congress more than 60 years ago and helps resource agencies, including NMFS, to manage fisheries and implement fishery management programs. This project will support NMFS and the Council to analyze the impacts of reauthorization of the Rockfish Program and, if the Council recommends reauthorization, to develop the proposed and final rules to implement the program reauthorization.

In 2003, the U.S. Congress directed the Secretary of Commerce to establish, in consultation with the Council, a pilot program for management of the Pacific ocean perch, northern rockfish, and pelagic shelf rockfish fisheries in the Central GOA. Following this directive, in 2005, the Council adopted a share-based management program, under which the total allowable catch is apportioned as exclusive shares to cooperatives. The pilot program was designed to enhance resource conservation and improve economic efficiency in the Central GOA rockfish fisheries by establishing a catch share program and to recognize the historical participation of fishing vessels and fish processors in the Central GOA rockfish fisheries. Fishing under the pilot program

---

<sup>1</sup> This RFP refers to "possible Council final action," because NMFS is not presupposing any outcome on proposed conservation and management measures under consideration by the Council.

started in 2007. This pilot program was scheduled to sunset after 2 years, but the 2007 reauthorization of the Magnuson-Stevens Act extended the term of the program to 5 years, through December 31, 2011.

The Council and NMFS developed the current Rockfish Program to replace the pilot program. The Rockfish Program includes similar implementation, management, monitoring, and enforcement measures to those developed under the pilot program, but also includes some revisions to improve the program and to comply with NMFS's legal authority. Additional information about and history of the Rockfish Program and prior EAs and RIRs for the Rockfish Program are available on the Council's [website](#). Some of these documents also are listed in the References section to this Request for Proposals.

The Rockfish Program replacement for the pilot program was effective on December 27, 2011, and management under the new program began with the 2012 fisheries. Based on Council recommendation, the Rockfish Program is scheduled to sunset on December 31, 2021. Therefore, the Council and NMFS must undertake an analytical and rulemaking process to reauthorize and potentially modify specific components of the Rockfish Program before it expires. The EA/RIR is necessary to assist the Council, NMFS, and the public to understand how the Rockfish Program operates, what program revisions may be needed, and the expected impacts of the alternatives of continuing the program, possibly with revisions, or allowing the program to expire.

The Rockfish Program is the only catch share program in the Alaska Region that was developed under the requirements of section 303A of the Magnuson-Stevens Act. Section 303A(c)(1)(G) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act requires that the Rockfish Program be subject to a formal and detailed review five years after the implementation of the program. The Council and NMFS completed the [Rockfish Program review](#) in October 2017. Although the Council found that the Rockfish Program was generally meeting the established conservation and management goals, the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) noted that some of the social and economic impacts of the program could be analyzed more thoroughly or by using different approaches. The SSC recommendations are on pages 22 through 25 of the [minutes from its October 2017 meeting](#).

In December 2018, the Council received a [discussion paper](#) provided to inform Council consideration of the Rockfish Program reauthorization. The discussion paper intended to aid the Council in developing a new problem statement and set of alternatives, as well as describing the proposed changes that were raised during the Rockfish Program review. In response to this paper, as well as further testimony and Advisory Panel (AP) discussion, the Council established a purpose and need statement and a set of alternatives to be considered in the draft EA/RIR for initial review.

### **Scope of Work**

PSMFC intends to contract with one firm to provide professional services under the following two tasks:

**Task 1. Prepare an Environmental Assessment/Regulatory Impact Review (EA/RIR) for the proposal to extend the Rockfish Program beyond its current expiration date of December 31, 2021.**

The contractor must prepare an EA/RIR that complies with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), E.O. 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, NMFS's guidance for the preparation of EA/RIRs, and the Council/NMFS analytical template (see References section of this RFP). Some of the most important requirements of these laws, E.O.s, and guidance are the requirements to analyze the impacts of the alternatives on the human environment; affected individuals, entities, and communities; and, if applicable, the impacts or costs to NMFS or other management agencies. In addition, the EA/RIR must identify the small entities that likely would be directly affected by the proposed action and describe the expected impacts of the action on those small entities, consistent with the requirements of Regulatory Flexibility Act.

In the EA/RIR, the contractor must use the purpose and need developed by the Council and analyze the impacts of the alternatives identified by the Council in its December 2018 [motion](#). The contractor may not modify the Council's purpose and need statement or alternatives. These elements of the EA/RIR may only be modified by future direction from the Council.

The EA/RIR must provide a thorough analysis of the impacts among the alternatives, elements, and options identified by the Council in December 2018 or in future Council motions. Considering the impact categories identified in prior analysis, such as the October 2011 analysis which authorized the current Rockfish Program, may aid the contractor in organizing a thorough evaluation of the effects of reauthorizing the Rockfish Program relative to allowing the program to expire. The EA/RIR also must address the degree to which each of the alternatives considered addresses the Council's purpose and need.

Finally, the contractor must address any other issues or requests for further analysis identified by NMFS, NOAA General Counsel, Council staff, or the Council in action planning meetings, Council meetings, or during the rulemaking process.

All versions of the draft and final EA/RIR referenced in this RFP must be submitted electronically as Word documents to NMFS or the Council using the Council's analytical template.

Development and presentation of the draft and final EA/RIR occurs in the following stages (milestones) and sequence, with the following projected schedule and deadlines. Specific milestones and deadlines may be adjusted as needed upon mutual written agreement between PSMFC and the contractor.

Planning meetings

The contractor must initiate the project with a telephone meeting among the contractor, NMFS staff, Council staff, and others, as appropriate, to discuss how to develop a detailed outline for

the EA/RIR and to identify tasks, data, or inputs needed by the contractor from NMFS or Council staff. This meeting must be conducted within 15 days of contract award (June 14, 2019).

Throughout development and preparation of the draft and final EA/RIR, the contractor also will participate in analysis planning meetings with NMFS and Council staff. These meetings will be conducted by phone unless the contractor and NMFS and Council staff happen to be located in the same place at a time convenient for the meeting (in other words, travel is not required for the planning meetings). The number and timing of the planning meetings will be determined by NMFS and the contractor, as appropriate. For purposes of developing a proposed budget for this RFP, assume that there will be the following planning meetings by telephone lasting no more than two hours each:

- two planning meetings before presentation of the initial review draft EA/RIR to the Council,
- one planning meeting before presentation of the public review draft EA/RIR to the Council for possible final action, and
- three planning meetings after Council final action, should the Council recommend reauthorization of the Rockfish Program, through publication of the final rule.

The above list of possible meetings is not intended to limit the communication between the contractor, NMFS, and Council staff. It is intended to provide general information for context about the proposal and to provide a specific set of assumptions that the contractor could use to prepare a budget for this RFP. Additional meetings and telephone calls may be scheduled by either the contractor or NMFS. The respondent to the RFP also may propose additional and different assumptions for his or her budget for the RFP.

#### Submission of the initial draft EA/RIR to NMFS for internal review

The contractor must submit a complete draft EA/RIR to NMFS by August 23, 2019. NMFS, NOAA GC, and Council staff will review the draft EA/RIR and provide a consolidated set of comments to the contractor. The contractor must respond to these comments and submit a completed draft EA/RIR to the Council by September 12, 2019. This schedule provides the public two weeks to review the draft EA/RIR prior to the Council meeting.

#### Presentation of the draft EA/RIR to the Council for initial review

The contractor must present an overview of the draft EA/RIR in person at the October 2019 Council meeting in Homer, Alaska. The overview will be given to the Council's Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) and AP, and to the Council. Oral presentations must be tailored to the needs and responsibilities of each of the advisory bodies and the Council. The contractor must respond to questions from the SSC, AP, Council, and NMFS and Council staff during the meeting.

For purposes of developing a proposed budget for this RFP, assume that the contractor will need to be present in Homer, Alaska from Sunday, September 29, 2019, through Sunday, October 6, 2019 (8 days and 7 nights).

### Submission of the public review draft EA/RIR for internal review

After initial review, the Council will either recommend releasing the draft EA/RIR for public review and schedule final action on this issue at a later Council meeting, or request that additional analysis be conducted and schedule the issue for a second initial review. For purposes of developing the budget for this RFP, assume that the Council will recommend release of the draft EA/RIR for public review with requests for some additional information and analysis prior to release of the public review draft EA/RIR. In addition, assume that the Council will schedule final action on the Rockfish Program reauthorization for its December 2019 meeting in Anchorage. The actual additional work that may be needed on the draft EA/RIR and the schedule for further Council action will depend on the Council's action at the time it conducts initial review of the draft EA/RIR.

The contractor must submit a complete public review draft EA/RIR to NMFS by October 18, 2019. The public review draft must include all additional information or analysis requested by the Council at its October 2019 meeting. NMFS, NOAA GC, and Council staff will review the draft EA/RIR and provide a consolidated set of comments to the contractor. The contractor must respond to these comments and submit a completed public review draft EA/RIR to the Council by October 31, 2019. This schedule provides the public four weeks to review the public review draft EA/RIR prior to the December 2019 Council meeting.

### Presentation of the public review draft EA/RIR to the Council for possible final action

The contractor must present an overview of the public review draft EA/RIR in person at the December 2019 Council meeting in Anchorage, Alaska. The overview will be given to the Council's AP and to the Council. Oral presentations must be tailored to the needs and responsibilities of each of the advisory bodies and the Council. The contractor must respond to questions from the AP, Council, and NMFS and Council staff during the meeting.

For purposes of developing a proposed budget for this RFP, assume that the contractor will need to be present in Anchorage, Alaska Sunday, December 1, 2019, through Sunday, December 8, 2019 (8 days and 7 nights).

The Council also may schedule final action on the Rockfish Program reauthorization for its January 27 – February 3, 2020, meeting in Seattle, Washington or its March 30 – April 7, 2020, meeting in Anchorage, Alaska. Additional information about costs of attending and presenting the final draft EA/RIR to the Council at either of these meetings also may be provided in the budget for this RFP.

### Submission of the final draft EA/RIR to NMFS

If the Council takes final action at its December 2019 meeting to recommend reauthorization of the Rockfish Program, the contractor must revise the draft EA/RIR to identify and describe the Council's preferred alternative and final action, and submit the final draft EA/RIR to NMFS by January 31, 2020. If the Council takes final action to recommend reauthorization of the Rockfish

Program at a meeting in 2020, the contractor must submit the final draft EA/RIR to NMFS within 30 days after the Council’s final action.

If the Council recommends reauthorization of the Rockfish Program, NMFS will proceed with development of a fishery management plan amendment and proposed rule to seek public comment on the Council’s recommendation. This work is described below under Task 2. If the Council recommends allowing the Rockfish Program to expire, the contractor must revise the final draft EA/RIR to reflect this preferred alternative and the rationale for it and submit the final draft EA/RIR to NMFS within 30 days of the end of the Council meeting at which this action was taken. Should this occur, all work on this project would be completed under Task 1 and NMFS would not proceed to any work under Task 2 of this RFP.

Summary of Task 1 dates and milestones:

Date	Milestone
June 14, 2019	Initiate project planning meeting with NMFS and Council staff within 15 days of contract award.
August 23, 2019	Contractor submits draft EA/RIR to NMFS for internal review.
Sept 12, 2019	Contractor submits draft EA/RIR to Council.
Sept 29 – Oct 6, 2019	Contractor presents draft EA/RIR to Council for initial review.
Oct 18, 2019	Contractor submits public review draft EA/RIR to NMFS for internal review.
Oct 31, 2019	Contractor submits public review draft EA/RIR to Council.
Dec 1 – 8, 2019	Contractor presents public review draft EA/RIR to Council.
Jan 31, 2020	If Council recommends reauthorization of the Rockfish Program at its December 2019 meeting, this is the date the contractor would submit the final draft EA/RIR to NMFS for further review as part of the FMP amendment and regulatory amendment process

**Task 2: Assist in preparing the proposed and final rules for reauthorization of the Rockfish Program, should the Council recommend reauthorization**

If the Council recommends reauthorization of the Rockfish Program, the contractor will work with NMFS to continue to revise and refine the EA/RIR, as needed, throughout the process of developing, proposing, and finalizing amendments to the FMP and revisions to Federal regulations for the Rockfish Program.

Once the final draft EA/RIR is submitted to NMFS as the last step under Task 1, NMFS will review the final draft EA/RIR and may provide additional comments and requests for revisions or additional analysis. Revisions made at this stage of the analytical process are expected to be limited because the draft EA/RIR has been through several rounds of NMFS, Council, and public review.

The contractor also will assist NMFS to prepare the draft proposed rule and to respond to public comments on the proposed rule. NMFS staff will draft the proposed rule. The contractor must

review the draft proposed rule to identify any areas of inconsistency between the EA/RIR and the draft proposed rule.

The contractor must review all public comments received on the proposed FMP amendment (comments on the Notice of Availability) and on the proposed rule. The contractor must identify those comments that are related to issues addressed in the draft EA/RIR and draft written responses to any comments NMFS assigns to the contractor. The contractor must provide the written responses to comments to NMFS by the deadline established by NMFS and the contractor, likely to be within 15 to 30 days after the end of the public comment period on the NOA and proposed rule. The contractor also must make any revisions in the draft EA/RIR that are needed as a result of the public comment or any clarifications or revisions NMFS makes in the final rule. Finally, the contractor must submit a final EA/RIR to NMFS to support the final rule. This final EA/RIR likely will be required to be submitted about 45 days before projected publication of the final rule.

If the Council recommends reauthorization of the Rockfish Program at its December 2019 meeting, the general schedule for Task 2 milestones and deadlines in 2020 are as follows:

Date	Milestone
Jan – Mar 2020	Assist NMFS to prepare the proposed rule (review draft proposed rule, write assigned sections of the proposed rule)
April 2020	Notice of Availability (NOA) for the FMP amendments and the proposed rule are published in the <i>Federal Register</i>
June 2020	End of 60-day comment period on the NOA and proposed rule.
July – August 2020	Assist NMFS to respond to comments on the NOA and proposed rule, to prepare the final rule, and to prepare the final EA/RIR.
August 2020	Submit final EA/RIR to NMFS.
Sept 2020	Approve FMP amendments and publish the final rule for reauthorization of the Rockfish Program.
October 2020	Final rule is effective.

**Overall Project Timeline:**

Following is an overall projected timeline for the entire project, including both Task 1 and Task 2.

Date	Milestone
May 31, 2019	Projected date for contract award.
<b>TASK 1</b>	
June 14, 2019	Initiate project planning meeting with NMFS and Council staff within 15 days of contract award.
August 23, 2019	Contractor submits draft EA/RIR to NMFS for internal review.
Sept 12, 2019	Contractor submits draft EA/RIR to Council.
Sept 29 – Oct 6, 2019	Contractor presents draft EA/RIR to Council for initial review.
Oct 18, 2019	Contractor submits public review draft EA/RIR to NMFS for internal review.
Oct 31, 2019	Contractor submits public review draft EA/RIR to Council.
Dec 1 – 8, 2019	Contractor presents public review draft EA/RIR to Council.
Jan 31, 2020	If Council recommends reauthorization of the Rockfish Program at its December 2019 meeting, this is the date the contractor would submit the final draft EA/RIR to NMFS for further review as part of the FMP amendment and regulatory amendment process
<b>TASK 2</b>	
Jan – Mar 2020	Assist NMFS to prepare the proposed rule (review draft proposed rule, write assigned sections of the proposed rule)
April 2020	Notice of Availability (NOA) for the FMP amendments and the proposed rule are published in the <i>Federal Register</i>
June 2020	End of 60-day comment period on the NOA and proposed rule.
July – August 2020	Assist NMFS to respond to comments on the NOA and proposed rule, to prepare the final rule, and to prepare the final EA/RIR.
August 2020	Submit final EA/RIR to NMFS.
Sept 2020	Approve FMP amendments and publish the final rule for reauthorization of the Rockfish Program.
October 2020	Final rule is effective.
Oct 2020 – Oct 2021	Additional time if the schedule above needs to be adjusted.
December 31, 2021	Current authorization for the Rockfish Program expires.

**Travel:** The project will require travel to two to three North Pacific Fishery Management Council meetings and each trip is expected to last eight days and seven nights. Council presentations will likely be in Homer, Alaska, Anchorage, Alaska, or Seattle, Washington. For purposes of the budget for this Request for Proposals, the contractor should assume that he or she will attend one Council meeting in Homer, Alaska in October 2019, and a second Council meeting in Anchorage, Alaska in December 2019.

### **Contractor Qualifications**

The qualified contractor must have knowledge of the laws, regulations, and NMFS policies governing the preparation of EAs, RIRs, and rulemaking documents. The contractor must demonstrate successful experience preparing analytical documents to support the NMFS federal rulemaking process for North Pacific fisheries, experience preparing analytical documents for review by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council, and knowledge of analyses required for implementation of catch share programs authorized by the Magnuson-Stevens Act. He or she must demonstrate experience developing analyses that meet NMFS's requirements and the ability to produce analytical documents on deadline.

### **Proposal Requirements and Scoring**

Please provide a resume for each person proposed to work on this project and an explanation of the roles and responsibilities anticipated for each person listed. Please also provide all of the information required in Appendix A about each person proposed to work on this project, using the format shown in the appendix. In the proposal, include the number of hours and the hourly rate charged for each person proposed to work on the project; an estimate of the travel and per diem costs for any people proposed to attend any necessary Council meetings; and information about any other anticipated costs associated with completing the project.

All proposals will be evaluated and scored using the following criteria:

1. Experience (55%): Proposers must demonstrate past performance and experience preparing analytical documents, including EAs and/or RIRs, to support the NMFS federal rulemaking process for North Pacific fisheries, and all other experience listed as contractor qualifications described above. For each person identified as a proposed contributor to the project, provide a list of the projects that each person has successfully completed in the past that demonstrate experience. Describe how that person's contribution to the listed project meets these experience requirements. Please include references from these projects.

2. Analytical Approach (30%): Proposers will be scored on the basis of their overall and specific technical and analytical approach to the described tasks, along with their understanding of the specific issues and risks relating to each of the separate tasks.

Proposers must explain in writing their analytical approach to:

- Successfully and independently plan and carry out an EA/RIR for a complicated catch share program with multiple components;

- Successfully apply expert knowledge of the laws, regulations, and policies governing the preparation of EA/RIRs for NMFS and the Council to analyze the impacts of the alternatives;
- Effectively communicate with NMFS, Council staff, and other agency staff to plan the project to meet all project requirements and deadlines, and to respond to comments and recommendations about the draft EA/RIR;
- Effectively and constructively communicate the results of the EA/RIR to the Council and the public, and address Council questions and requests for additional analysis; and
- Produce draft and final EA/RIRs that meet NMFS's requirements by specified deadlines.

Cost (15%): Proposers will be scored on the basis of the cost estimates provided in their proposal.

### **Basis for Remuneration**

This grant will be administered on a time and materials basis with the contractor submitting bills periodically for work completed as directed in the grant statement of work and remuneration to contractor on approval by PSMFC.

### **Conflict of Interest**

A conflict of interest exists when financial interests or other opportunities for tangible personal or professional benefit could influence or appear to influence the professional judgment of a member of the Proposal Review Committee. Improper influence could be used to not only advance one's own research program, but also to unfairly promote a family member's, colleague's or former student's program. Thus, care must be exercised to avoid a conflict of interest during the proposal review process and the discussion of past, current or proposed research. A conflict of interest also exists when circumstances simply have the appearance of compromising the profession judgement of a member of the Proposal Review Committee. It is the policy of PSMFC that conflicts of interest or the appearance of conflicts of interest shall be avoided wherever possible and disclosed and minimized in situations where interests cannot be reasonable separated. Whenever a conflict of interest arises during discussions of the Proposal Review Committee, the member in question shall disclose the possible conflict and excuse themselves from the discussion.

### **References**

Note: This list is intended to be a guide and general overview of a list of available resources for conducting economic and social analyses of regulatory actions.

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act:

<https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/resource/document/magnuson-stevens-fishery-conservation-and-management-act>

Guidance on preparing EAs:

- NMFS Alaska Region National Environmental Policy Act guidance:  
<https://alaskafisheries.noaa.gov/fisheries/nepa-guidance>

Guidance on preparing RIRs:

- Executive Order 12866: [https://www.reginfo.gov/public/jsp/Utilities/EO\\_12866.pdf](https://www.reginfo.gov/public/jsp/Utilities/EO_12866.pdf)
- Regulatory Flexibility Act: <https://www.sba.gov/advocacy/regulatory-flexibility-act>
- NMFS Alaska Region's guidance for the preparation of RIRs: <https://alaskafisheries.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/rir-rfaa-guidance.pdf>
- NMFS HQ Guidance for Conducting Economic and Social Analyses of Regulatory Actions: <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/laws-and-policies/guidance-conducting-economic-and-social-analyses-regulatory-actions>

Guidance for EA/RIRs:

- The Council's analytical template is available from the North Pacific Fishery Management Council, contact [Maria.Davis@noaa.gov](mailto:Maria.Davis@noaa.gov), or 907-271-2809.

Other Background Information:

- Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska (FMP): <https://www.npfmc.org/wp-content/PDFdocuments/fmp/GOA/GOAfmppdf.pdf>. See Section 3.7.2 of the FMP.

Specific Rockfish Program prior analyses and program reviews to review:

Secretarial Review Regulatory Impact Review, Final Environmental Assessment, and Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for proposed Amendment 88 to the Gulf of Alaska Fishery Management Plan Central Gulf of Alaska Rockfish Program (10/11):  
<https://alaskafisheries.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/analyses/rireairfa1011.pdf>

Central Gulf of Alaska Rockfish Program Review (11/17):

<https://alaskafisheries.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/analyses/rireairfa1011.pdf>

Appendix 1 SIA: <http://npfmc.legistar.com/gateway.aspx?M=F&ID=1c813c58-b346-4cef-aa74-44dbe2a24b42.pdf>

Discussion paper scoping the Central Gulf of Alaska Rockfish Reauthorization (12/18):

<http://meetings.npfmc.org/CommentReview/DownloadFile?p=31687b79-e42b-4276-97bb-36e6aaa4435f.pdf&fileName=D5%20Central%20GOA%20Rockfish%20Reauthorization.pdf>

Council motion on Central Gulf of Alaska Rockfish Reauthorization (12/18):

<http://meetings.npfmc.org/CommentReview/DownloadFile?p=926dad52-9381-42ed-a0dd-249563353667.pdf&fileName=D5%20MOTION.pdf>

## Appendix A. Budget Template

Person 1:

Name:

Title/Role:

Hourly rate:

Residence (City, State):

Person 2:

Name:

Title/Role:

Hourly rate:

Residence (City, State):

Person 3:

Name:

Title/Role:

Hourly rate:

Residence (City, State):

Repeat as necessary.

Please provide an estimate of the travel and per diem costs for any people proposed to attend any necessary Council meetings in Homer, Alaska or Anchorage, Alaska, and information about any other anticipated costs associated with completing the project.